

A multiplicity result for nonlocal problems involving nonlinearities with bounded primitive

BIAGIO RICCERI

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to provide the first application of Theorem 3 of [2] in a case where the dependence of the underlying equation from the real parameter is not of affine type. The simplest particular case of our result reads as follows:

Let $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-zero continuous function such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} |F(\xi)| < +\infty$$

where $F(\xi) = \int_0^\xi f(s)ds$. Moreover, let $k : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $h :] - \operatorname{osc}_{\mathbf{R}} F, \operatorname{osc}_{\mathbf{R}} F[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be two continuous and non-decreasing functions, with $k(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.

Then, for each μ large enough, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq] \inf_{\mathbf{R}} F, \sup_{\mathbf{R}} F[$ and a number $\rho > 0$ such that, for every $\lambda \in A$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -k \left(\int_0^1 |u'(t)|^2 dt \right) u'' = \mu h \left(\int_0^1 F(u(t)) dt - \lambda \right) f(u) & \text{in } [0, 1] \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has at least three solutions whose norms in $H_0^1(0, 1)$ are less than ρ .

In [2], we established the following result:

THEOREM A. - *Let X be a separable and reflexive real Banach space, $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ an interval, and $\Psi : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a continuous function satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a₁) *for each $x \in X$, the function $\Psi(x, \cdot)$ is concave;*
- (a₂) *for each $\lambda \in I$, the function $\Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is C^1 , sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive, and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition;*
- (a₃) *there exists a continuous concave function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Psi(x, \lambda) + h(\lambda)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Psi(x, \lambda) + h(\lambda)) .$$

Then, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq I$ and a positive real number ρ , such that, for each $\lambda \in J$, the equation

$$\Psi'_x(x, \lambda) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in X whose norms are less than ρ .

A consequence of Theorem A is as follows:

THEOREM B. - *Let X be a separable and reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* ; $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact; $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ an interval. Assume that*

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(x) + \lambda\Psi(x)) = +\infty$$

for all $\lambda \in I$, and that there exists a continuous concave function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda\Psi(x) + h(\lambda)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Phi(x) + \lambda\Psi(x) + h(\lambda)) .$$

Then, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq I$ and a positive real number ρ such that, for each $\lambda \in A$, the equation

$$\Phi'(x) + \lambda\Psi'(x) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in X whose norms are less than ρ .

In appraising the literature, it is quite surprising to realize that, while Theorem B has been proved itself to be one of the most frequently used abstract multiplicity results in the last decade, it seems that there is no article where Theorem A has been applied to some Ψ which does not depend on λ in an affine way. For an up-dated bibliographical account related to Theorem B, we refer to [3].

The aim of this paper is to offer a first contribution to fill this gap.

To state our results, let us fix some notation.

For a generic function $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, we denote by $\text{osc}_X \psi$ the (possibly infinite) number $\sup_X \psi - \inf_X \psi$.

In the sequel, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We consider the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

If $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is an interval, with $0 \in I$, and $g : \Omega \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function such that $g(x, \cdot)$ is continuous in I for all $x \in \Omega$, we set

$$G(x, \xi) = \int_0^\xi g(x, t) dt$$

for all $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times I$.

When $n \geq 2$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all Carathéodory functions $f : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\sup_{(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}} \frac{|f(x, \xi)|}{1 + |\xi|^q} < +\infty ,$$

for some q with $0 < q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$ and $0 < q < +\infty$ if $n = 2$. When $n = 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all Carathéodory functions $f : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that, for each $r > 0$, the function $x \rightarrow \sup_{|t| \leq r} |f(x, t)|$ belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, for each $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we set

$$J_f(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx .$$

The functional J_f is C^1 and its derivative is compact. Moreover, we set

$$\alpha_f = \inf_{H_0^1(\Omega)} J_f ,$$

$$\beta_f = \sup_{H_0^1(\Omega)} J_f$$

and

$$\omega_f = \beta_f - \alpha_f .$$

Clearly, when f does not depend on x , we have

$$\alpha_f = \text{meas}(\Omega) \inf_{\mathbf{R}} F$$

and

$$\beta_f = \text{meas}(\Omega) \sup_{\mathbf{R}} F .$$

Our main result reads as follows:

THEOREM 1. - *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that*

$$\sup_{(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}} \max\{|F(x, \xi)|, G(x, \xi)\} < +\infty$$

and

$$\sup_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left| \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx \right| > 0 .$$

Then, for every pair of continuous and non-decreasing functions $k : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $h :]-\omega_f, \omega_f[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, with $k(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $h^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, for which the number

$$\theta^* = \inf \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2} K \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right) - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u(x)) dx}{H \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx \right)} : u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx \neq 0 \right\}$$

is non-negative, and for every $\mu > \theta^*$, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq]\alpha_f, \beta_f[$ and a number $\rho > 0$ such that, for every $\lambda \in A$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -k \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = \mu h \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx - \lambda \right) f(x, u) + g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has at least three weak solutions whose norms in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are less than ρ .

Clearly, a weak solution of the above problem is any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & k \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx = \\ & = \mu h \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx - \lambda \right) \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) v(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

So, the weak solutions of the problem are exactly the critical points in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the functional

$$u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} K(\|u\|^2) - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u(x)) dx - \mu H \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx - \lambda \right) .$$

The problem that we are considering is a nonlocal one. We refer to the very recent paper [1] for a relevant discussion and an up-dated bibliography as well.

From what we said above, it is clear that our proof of Theorem 1 is based on the use of Theorem A. This is made possible by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 1. - *Let X be a non-empty set and let $\gamma : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$, $J : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be two functions such that $\gamma(x_0) = J(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Moreover, assume that J takes at least four values. Finally, let $\varphi :] - \text{osc}_X J, \text{osc}_X J[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be a continuous function such that*

$$\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\min \left\{ \liminf_{t \rightarrow (-\text{osc}_X J)^+} \varphi(t), \liminf_{t \rightarrow (\text{osc}_X J)^-} \varphi(t) \right\} > 0 . \tag{2}$$

Put

$$\theta = \inf_{x \in J^{-1}([\inf_X J, \sup_X J] \setminus \{0\})} \frac{\gamma(x)}{\varphi(J(x))} .$$

Then, for each $\mu > \theta$, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} \inf_{x \in X} (\gamma(x) - \mu \varphi(J(x) - \lambda)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} (\gamma(x) - \mu \varphi(J(x) - \lambda)) .$$

PROOF. First, we make some remarks on the definition of θ . Since J takes at least four values, the set $J^{-1}([\inf_X J, \sup_X J] \setminus \{0\})$ is non-empty. So, if $x \in J^{-1}([\inf_X J, \sup_X J] \setminus \{0\})$, we have $J(x) \in] - \text{osc}_X J, \text{osc}_X J[\setminus \{0\}$ (recall that $\inf_X J \leq 0 \leq \sup_X J$), and so $\varphi(J(x)) > 0$. Hence, θ is a well-defined non-negative real number. Now, fix $\mu > \theta$. Since φ is continuous, we have

$$\inf_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} \varphi(J(x) - \lambda) = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} (\gamma(x) - \mu\varphi(J(x) - \lambda)) &= \inf_{x \in X} \left(\gamma(x) - \mu \inf_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} \varphi(J(x) - \lambda) \right) \\ &= \inf_X \gamma = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now, since $\mu > \theta$, there is $x_1 \in X$ such that

$$\gamma(x_1) - \mu\varphi(J(x_1)) < 0 .$$

So, again by the continuity of φ , for $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\gamma(x_1) - \mu\varphi(J(x_1) - \lambda) < -\epsilon \quad (4)$$

for all $\lambda \in [-\delta, \delta]$. On the other hand, (1) and (2) imply that

$$\nu := \inf_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[\setminus [-\delta, \delta]} \varphi(-\lambda) > 0 . \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5), recalling that $\gamma(x_0) = J(x_0) = 0$, it clearly follows

$$\sup_{\lambda \in]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[} \inf_{x \in X} (\gamma(x) - \mu\varphi(J(x) - \lambda)) \leq \max\{-\epsilon, -\mu\nu\} < 0$$

and so the conclusion follows in view of (3). $\triangle$

REMARK 1. - It is clear that if a $\varphi :] - \operatorname{osc}_X J, \operatorname{osc}_X J[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ satisfies (1) and is convex, then it is continuous and satisfies (2) too.

A joint application of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 gives

THEOREM 2. - *Let X be a separable and reflexive real Banach space and let $\eta, J : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be two C^1 functionals with compact derivative and $\eta(0) = J(0) = 0$. Assume also that J is bounded and non-constant, and that η is bounded above.*

Then, for every sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive C^1 functional $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* and with $\psi(0) = 0$, for every convex C^1 function $\varphi :] - \operatorname{osc}_X J, \operatorname{osc}_X J[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$, with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, for which the number

$$\hat{\theta} = \inf_{x \in J^{-1}(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})} \frac{\psi(x) - \eta(x)}{\varphi(J(x))}$$

is non-negative, and for every $\mu > \hat{\theta}$ there exist an open interval $A \subseteq]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[$ and a number $\rho > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in A$, the equation

$$\psi'(x) = \mu\varphi'(J(x) - \lambda)J'(x) + \eta'(x)$$

has at least three solutions whose norms are less than ρ .

PROOF. We apply Theorem A taking $I =]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[$ and

$$\Psi(x, \lambda) = \psi(x) - \eta(x) - \mu\varphi(J(x) - \lambda)$$

for all $(x, \lambda) \in X \times I$.

Clearly, Ψ is C^1 in X , continuous in $X \times I$ and concave in I . By Corollary 41.9 of [4], the functionals η, J are sequentially weakly continuous. Hence, for each $\lambda \in I$, the functional $\Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Moreover, it is coercive, since ψ is so and $\sup_{x \in X} \max\{|J(x)|, \eta(x)\} < +\infty$. Moreover, it is clear that, for each $\lambda \in I$, the derivative of the functional $\eta(\cdot) + \varphi(J(\cdot) - \lambda)$ is compact (due to the assumptions on η and J and to the fact that φ' is bounded on the compact interval $[\inf_X J, \sup_X J] - \lambda$), and so, by Example 38.25 of [4], the functional $\Psi(\cdot, \lambda)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Now, to realize that condition (a_3) is satisfied, we use Remark 1 and Proposition 1 with $\gamma = \psi - \eta$, observing that $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ since the range of J is an interval. Then, we see that all the assumptions of Theorem A are satisfied, and the conclusion follows in view of the chain rule. $\triangle$

It is worth noticing the following consequence of Theorem 2:

THEOREM 3. - *Let X be a separable and reflexive real Banach space, let $J : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-constant bounded C^1 functional with compact derivative and $J(0) = 0$, and let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive C^1 functional whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* and with $\psi(0) = 0$. Assume that there exists $\mu > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_{x \in X} (\psi(x) - \mu(e^{J(x)} - 1)) < 0 \leq \inf_{x \in X} (\psi(x) - \mu J(x)) . \quad (6)$$

Then, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq]\mu e^{-\sup_X J}, \mu e^{-\inf_X J}[$ and a number $\rho > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in A$, the equation

$$\psi'(x) = \lambda e^{J(x)} J'(x)$$

has at least three solutions whose norms are less than ρ .

PROOF. From (6), it clearly follows that

$$0 \leq \inf_{x \in J^{-1}(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})} \frac{\psi(x) - \mu J(x)}{e^{J(x)} - J(x) - 1} < \mu .$$

Consequently, we can apply Theorem 2 with $\eta = \mu J$ and $\varphi(t) = e^t - t - 1$, so that $\mu > \hat{\theta}$. Then, there exist an open interval $B \subseteq]\inf_X J, \sup_X J[$ and a number ρ such that, for each $\nu \in B$ the equation

$$\psi'(x) = \mu(e^{J(x)-\nu} - 1)J'(x) + \mu J'(x) = \mu e^{-\nu} e^{J(x)} J'(x)$$

has at least three solutions whose norms are less than ρ . Therefore, the conclusion follows taking

$$A = \{\mu e^{-\nu} : \nu \in B\} ,$$

and the proof is complete. $\triangle$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us apply Theorem 2 taking

$$X = H_0^1(\Omega) ,$$

$$J = J_f ,$$

$$\eta = J_g ,$$

$$\varphi = H$$

and

$$\psi(u) = \frac{1}{2}K(\|u\|^2)$$

for all $u \in X$.

Since $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, the functionals J_f, J_g are C^1 , with compact derivative. Since K is C^1 , increasing and coercive, the functional ψ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, C^1 and coercive. Let us show that ψ' has a continuous inverse on X^* (identified to X , since X is a real Hilbert space). To this end, note that the continuous function $t \rightarrow tk(t^2)$ is increasing in $[0, +\infty[$ and onto $[0, +\infty[$. Denote by σ its inverse and consider the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$T(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma(\|v\|)}{\|v\|}v & \text{if } v \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } v = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Since σ is continuous and $\sigma(0) = 0$, the operator T is continuous in X . For each $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$, since $k(\|u\|^2) > 0$, we have

$$T(\psi'(u)) = T(k(\|u\|^2)u) = \frac{\sigma(k(\|u\|^2)\|u\|)}{k(\|u\|^2)\|u\|}k(\|u\|^2)u = \frac{\|u\|}{k(\|u\|^2)\|u\|}k(\|u\|^2)u = u ,$$

as desired. Clearly, the assumptions on h imply that φ is non-negative, convex, with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. So, all the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, and the conclusion follows. $\triangle$

We conclude pointing out the following sample of application of Theorem 1 which is made possible by the fact that h is assumed to have the required properties on $] -\omega_f, \omega_f[$ only.

EXAMPLE 1. - Let $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a non-zero function belonging to $\mathcal{A}$, with $\sup_{\mathbf{R}} |F| < +\infty$ and let $k : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous and non-decreasing function, with $k(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Then, for each μ large enough, there exist an open interval $A \subseteq]\text{meas}(\Omega) \inf_{\mathbf{R}} F, \text{meas}(\Omega) \sup_{\mathbf{R}} F[$ and a number $\rho > 0$ such that, for every $\lambda \in A$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -k\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = \mu \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) dx - \lambda}{(\text{meas}(\Omega) \text{osc}_{\mathbf{R}} F)^2 - \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x)) dx - \lambda\right)^2} f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has at least three weak solutions whose norms in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are less than ρ .

References

- [1] X. L. FAN, *On nonlocal $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems*, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 3314-3323.
- [2] B. RICCERI, *On a three critical points theorem*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **75** (2000), 220-226.
- [3] B. RICCERI, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, in *Handbook of Nonconvex Analysis and Applications*, D. Y. Gao and D. Motreanu eds., International Press, to appear.
- [4] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

Department of Mathematics
University of Catania
Viale A. Doria 6
95125 Catania
Italy
e-mail address: ricceri@dmf.unict.it